

Tumkur District. If the local bodies give their quota, why should not Government provide their own share of the cost in the very next budget?

Mr. H. V. RAMASVAMI.—They are doing so as far as possible.

Heggere Tank Project in Kibbanahalli Hobli, Tiptur Taluk.

Q.—27. Mr. D. SIDDIAH GOWDA (Gubbi Taluk).—Will the Government be pleased to state

(a) how many years have elapsed since the Heggere tank in Kibbanahalli Hobli in Tiptur Taluk was breached;

(b) have not the raiyats concerned applied to Government for repairs of the breached tank ever since it breached;

(c) if the raiyats have applied for the same, why have not the Government taken up the repairs of the tank;

(d) are there any *takrars* from the raiyats for the repairs of the tank;

(e) if there are any *takrars*, are they from the raiyats of the neighbouring villages or from the raiyats who cultivate the bed of the tank;

(f) have the raiyats in the neighbourhood of the tank been sending applications for the past seven or eight years to the Government authorities for the repairs of the tank;

(g) did not the raiyats agree to pay the compensation at the time when their petitions were enquired into;

(h) why were not the repairs taken up by the Government even though the raiyats agreed to pay the compensation;

(i) if the *takrar* is from the raiyats who cultivate the bed of the tank, is it right to attach any value to the complaint of seven or eight raiyats of one village in preference to the inconvenience of hundreds of raiyats of 15 to 20 villages?

A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (Diwan Bahadur N. N. Ayyangar).—(a) About 100 years ago.

(b) The answer is in the affirmative.

(c) The project is not a remunerative one.

(d) The answer is in the affirmative.

(e) From both.

(f) The answer is in the affirmative.

(g) The raiyats have agreed to pay an acreage contribution of Rs. 90.

(h) *Vide* answer to (c).

(i) The question does not arise in view of answer (e).

Mr. Mummadi H. C. VEERABHADRAPPA.—With reference to answer to (b), what is the action taken on the petition?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—The estimated cost of the project comes to Rs. 54,000. The project will not be remunerative unless it yields a return of 4 per cent. The contribution of Rs. 90 promised by the raiyats works out at less than 2 per cent. This is not remunerative.

Mr. Mummadi H. C. VEERABHADRAPPA.—Will it be taken up if the raiyats agree to increase the contribution?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—If they agree to increase the contribution to Rs. 150 or Rs. 200 per acre, the project can be taken up as an urgent work.

Mr. Mummadi H. C. VEERABHADRAPPA.—What will be the extent of the *atchkat* after its restoration?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—About 200 acres.

Mr. D. SIDDE GOWDA.—With reference to answer to (d), is there still any *takrar* from the raiyats?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—No, it is now withdrawn. The only difficulty is about contribution.

Promotions to Brahmin and Non-Brahmin Surveyors.

Q.—30. Mr. B. L. HUTCHAPPA (Channarayapatna Taluk).—Will the Government be pleased to state

(a) when was the scheme of Record of Rights introduced into the State;

(b) how many surveyors have been recruited for the work;

(c) (i) of these how many are graduates; (ii) has any notice been published in the *Gazette* that Brahmin and non-Brahmin graduates will be given more pay than the other surveyors;